



Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering

**MICROSTRUCTURAL CHARACTERIZATION AND MECHANICAL
PROPERTIES OF Al-Si-Cu ALLOYS PROCESSED BY EQUAL
CHANNEL ANGULAR PRESSING**

Nurul Naili binti Mohamad Ishak

Master of Science in Manufacturing Engineering

2019

DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis entitled “Microstructural Characterization and Mechanical Properties of Al- Si- Cu Alloys Processed by Equal Channel Angular Pressing” is the result of my own research except as cited in the references. The thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted in the candidature of any other degree.

Signature :

Name : Nurul Naili binti Mohamad Ishak

Date :

APPROVAL

I hereby declare that I have read this thesis and in my opinion this thesis is sufficient in terms of scope and quality for the award of Master of Science in Manufacturing Engineering.

Signature :

Supervisor Name : Dr. Mohd Shukor bin Salleh

Date :

DEDICATION

To my beloved mother, father and family.

ABSTRACT

Recent years, severe plastic deformation (SPD) is recognized as a main technique to produce an ultrafine grained (UFG) structure of aluminium alloys. The most popular and widely applied is equal channel angular pressing (ECAP) technique. Typically, aluminium alloy with dendritic structure is used as feedstock material for ECAP processing. Therefore, in this research a feedstock with a non-dendritic microstructure is preferred to be used in ECAP processing due to the advantage of a good distribution of phase elements in the sample thus increase the mechanical properties of the alloys. The objective of this study is to produce a non-dendritic microstructure feedstock for ECAP process by using cooling slope casting. This study also investigates the evolution of α -Al microstructure, mechanical properties and wear properties of Al-Si-Cu alloys processed by ECAP and to study the effect of T6 heat treatment on α -Al microstructure, mechanical properties and wear properties of Al-Si-Cu alloys. ECAP process were performed by pressing a sample through a 120° die via route A at room temperature. The microstructure of the processed alloys were observed under optical microscope (OM) and scanning electron microscope (SEM) while the mechanical properties of the alloy were validated by Vickers hardness and tensile testing. Sliding wear test was applied to study the wear properties of the alloys. The results obtained indicates that the microstructure features were improves after two ECAP passes as the grain size was obviously refined to 37 μ m from 75 μ m in cooling slope cast sample. The hardness and tensile strength of the alloy increased as high as 84.3HV and 237.58MPa after two ECAP passes, compared to the conventional cast alloy with value of 44.6HV and 105.13MPa, respectively. The elongation to fracture decrease from 19% for conventional cast sample, reduce to 12% after processed by ECAP. It was also reveal that the wear resistance of the alloy improved after the combination of cooling slope casting and ECAP process as the volume loss obtained by this sample is lower than other samples with value of 3.3mm³ under 10N applied load. After T6 treatment, the Si particles was seen to be spheroidised in certain region within the globules within the α -Al globules while the other particles such as Cu, Mg and Fe particles were homogeneously dispersed. After T6, the mechanical and wear properties were enhanced as the distribution of particles were more homogeneous. In T6 condition, sample after 2 ECAP passes shows the highest tensile strength with value of 270MPa and the elongation percentage was also increase to 9% from 5%. Volume loss for alloys after T6 was lower than before T6. After 2 ECAP passes, the volume loss decreased to 3.0mm³ under 10N load which indicates better wear properties compared to other samples.

ABSTRAK

Kebelakangan ini, ubah bentuk plastik yang teruk (SPD) dikenali sebagai teknik yang utama untuk menghasilkan struktur saiz ira yang sangat halus (UFG). Teknik yang paling popular dan digunakan secara meluas adalah teknik penekanan sudut saluran sama (ECAP). Kebiasaannya, aluminium aloi dengan struktur dendrit digunakan sebagai bahan suapan untuk proses ECAP. Oleh itu, dalam kajian ini, bahan suapan dengan mikrostruktur bukan dendrit dipilih untuk digunakan dalam proses ECAP disebabkan taburan elemen bagi setiap fasa yang terbentuk adalah sekata dan seterusnya dapat meningkatkan sifat mekanik aloi tersebut. Objektif kajian ini adalah untuk menghasilkan aloi yang mempunyai ciri mikrostruktur bukan dendrit sebagai bahan suapan untuk proses ECAP dengan menggunakan teknik tuangan cerun penyejuk. Kajian ini juga dijalankan bagi mengenalpasti evolusi mikrostruktur α -Al, sifat mekanik dan sifat haus aloi Al-Si-Cu selepas proses ECAP dan mengkaji kesan rawatan haba T6 terhadap ciri mikrostruktur α -Al, sifat mekanik dan sifat haus aloi Al-Si-Cu. Proses ECAP dijalankan dengan menekan sampel melalui acuan yang bersudut 120° dengan melalui laluan A pada suhu bilik. Mikrostruktur aloi yang diproses diperhatikan di bawah mikroskop optik (OM) dan mikroskop pengimbasan elektron (SEM) manakala sifat mekanik aloi disahkan dengan menjalani ujian kekerasan Vickers dan ujian tegangan. Ujian haus gelangsar digunakan untuk mengkaji sifat haus aloi. Hasil yang diperolehi menunjukkan bahawa ciri- ciri mikrostruktur bertambah baik selepas laluan kedua ECAP dengan saiz ira yang telah dikurangkan kepada $37\mu\text{m}$ daripada $75\mu\text{m}$ yang terdapat pada sampel tuangan cerun penyejuk. Nilai kekerasan dan kekuatan tegangan aloi mencapai bacaan setinggi 84.3HV dan 237.58MPa masing-masing selepas laluan kedua ECAP berbanding dengan sampel tuangan konvensional yang hanya perolehi nilai kekerasan sebanyak 44.6HV dan kekuatan tegangan sebanyak 105.13MPa. Pemanjangan sebelum patah berkurang daripada 19% untuk sampel tuangan konvensional, turun kepada 12% selepas proses ECAP. Ini menunjukkan bahawa rintangan haus aloi bertambah baik selepas gabungan antara tuangan cerun penyejuk dan proses ECAP kerana kehilangan isipadu yang diperolehi bagi sampel ini lebih rendah daripada sampel lain dengan nilai 3.3mm^3 dibawah beban 10N. Selepas rawatan haba T6, zarah Si dilihat mengelilingi di kawasan lingkungan globula α -Al, manakala zarah- zarah lain seperti Cu, Mg dan Fe tersebar dengan sekata di dalam aloi. Selepas rawatan haba T6, sifat mekanik dan haus juga dapat dipertingkatkan kerana taburan zarah lebih sekata. Dalam kondisi T6, sampel ECAP selepas 2 laluan menunjukkan kekuatan tegangan tertinggi dengan nilai 270MPa dan peratus pemanjangan juga meningkat kepada 9% dari 5%. Kehilangan isipadu aloi selepas T6 adalah lebih rendah daripada sebelum T6. Selepas 2 laluan ECAP, kehilangan isipadu berkurangan kepada 3.0mm^3 di bawah beban 10N lalu menunjukkan sifat hausnya yang lebih baik daripada sampel yang lain.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Praise to Allah as giving me strength to complete my master in research.

First and foremost, I would like to take this opportunity to express my sincere acknowledgement to my supervisor Dr. Mohd Shukor Bin Salleh from Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering, Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) for his essential supervision, support and encouragement towards the completion of this thesis. Special thanks to UTeM and the Ministry of Higher Education of Malaysia for the financial support received under research grant RAGS/1/2015/TK0/FKP/03/B00105.

I would like to express my gratitude to Mr. Hanafiah, Mr. Taufiq, Mr. Ghazalan, Mr. Mazlan, Mr. Hairulhisham, Mr. Azhar and Mrs. Zurin, laboratories assistance engineer from Manufacturing Faculty. Deepest thanks to the late Mr. Khairul Effendi, laboratory assistance from Engineering Technology Faculty.

Special thanks to all my colleagues, my beloved mother, father and siblings for their moral support in completing this research. Last but not least, thank you to everyone who had been associated to the crucial parts of realization of this project.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | PAGE |
|--|-------------|
| DECLARATION | |
| APPROVAL | |
| DEDICATION | |
| ABSTRACT | i |
| ABSTRAK | ii |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | iii |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | iv |
| LIST OF TABLES | vii |
| LIST OF FIGURES | viii |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | xii |
| LIST OF PUBLICATIONS | xiv |
| CHAPTER | |
| 1. INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 Research background | 1 |
| 1.2 Problem statement | 3 |
| 1.3 Research objectives | 5 |
| 1.4 Research scope | 5 |
| 1.5 Chapter overview | 6 |
| 2. LITERATURE REVIEW | 7 |
| 2.1 Aluminium alloy | 7 |
| 2.2 Feedstock preparation | 11 |
| 2.2.1 Strain induced melt activated process | 13 |
| 2.2.2 Mechanical stirring | 17 |
| 2.2.3 Magneto hydrodynamic | 20 |
| 2.2.4 Cooling slope casting process | 22 |
| 2.2.5 Ultrasonic vibration | 25 |
| 2.2.6 Chemical grain refining | 27 |
| 2.3 Severe plastic deformation | 29 |
| 2.3.1 Equal channel angular pressing | 32 |
| 2.3.2 ECAP operation temperature | 36 |
| 2.3.3 Number of ECAP Passes | 36 |
| 2.3.4 ECAP processing route | 37 |
| 2.3.5 Channel angle and curvature angle | 38 |
| 2.4 Effect of ECAP parameters to the microstructure of the alloy | 39 |
| 2.4.1 Channel angle and curvature angle | 39 |
| 2.4.2 ECAP operation temperature | 41 |
| 2.4.3 Number of ECAP passes | 42 |
| 2.4.4 ECAP processing route | 44 |

| | | |
|-----------|---|-----------|
| 2.5 | Effect of ECAP parameters to the mechanical properties of the alloy | 45 |
| 2.5.1 | Channel angle and curvature angle | 46 |
| 2.5.2 | ECAP operation temperature | 46 |
| 2.5.3 | Number of ECAP passes | 47 |
| 2.5.4 | ECAP processing route | 48 |
| 2.6 | Heat treatment | 50 |
| 2.6.1 | Effect of heat treatment on microstructure | 51 |
| 2.6.2 | Effect of heat treatment on mechanical properties | 53 |
| 2.7 | Summary | 54 |
| 3. | METHODOLOGY | 55 |
| 3.1 | Introduction | 55 |
| 3.2 | Process flow | 55 |
| 3.3 | Material | 57 |
| 3.3.1 | Differential scanning calorimetry | 57 |
| 3.4 | Feedstock production process | 58 |
| 3.4.1 | Conventional casting | 58 |
| 3.4.2 | Cooling slope casting | 59 |
| 3.4.3 | Annealing | 61 |
| 3.5 | Equal channel angular pressing process | 62 |
| 3.6 | T6 heat treatment | 63 |
| 3.7 | Microstructural characterization | 64 |
| 3.7.1 | Preparation for morphological investigation | 64 |
| 3.7.2 | Microstructural analysis | 64 |
| 3.7.3 | X-ray diffraction | 65 |
| 3.8 | Mechanical testing | 65 |
| 3.8.1 | Hardness testing | 65 |
| 3.8.2 | Tensile testing | 66 |
| 3.9 | Wear testing | 67 |
| 3.10 | Summary | 68 |
| 4. | RESULT AND DISCUSSION | 69 |
| 4.1 | Feedstock production | 69 |
| 4.1.1 | Conventional casting | 69 |
| 4.1.2 | Cooling slope casting | 70 |
| 4.1.3 | Microstructure analysis of feedstock alloys | 73 |
| 4.1.4 | Hardness of feedstock alloys | 75 |
| 4.1.5 | Effects of annealing on the feedstock alloys | 76 |
| 4.2 | Effect of ECAP processing on microstructure of the alloys | 77 |
| 4.3 | Effect of ECAP processing on mechanical properties of the alloys | 85 |
| 4.3.1 | Hardness of the alloys | 85 |
| 4.3.2 | Tensile properties of the alloys | 87 |

| | | |
|-----------|--|------------|
| 4.4 | Effect of ECAP on wear resistance properties of the alloys | 91 |
| 4.4.1 | Wear response | 91 |
| 4.4.2 | Coefficient of friction | 95 |
| 4.4.3 | Worn surface | 96 |
| 4.5 | T6 heat treatment | 99 |
| 4.5.1 | Effect of T6 heat treatment on microstructure of the alloys | 99 |
| 4.5.2 | Effect of T6 heat treatment on mechanical properties of the alloys | 106 |
| 4.5.3 | Effect of T6 heat treatment on wear properties of the alloys | 112 |
| 4.6 | Summary | 118 |
| 5. | CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION | 119 |
| 5.1 | Conclusion | 119 |
| 5.2 | Recommendation | 122 |
| | REFERENCES | 123 |

LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE | TITLE | PAGE |
|-------|---|------|
| 2.1 | Wrought aluminium alloy | 8 |
| 2.2 | Cast aluminium alloy | 8 |
| 2.3 | Schematic illustration of severe plastic deformation technique | 31 |
| 3.1 | Chemical composition of A356 | 57 |
| 3.2 | Cooling slope casting parameters | 61 |
| 3.3 | Processing condition of the studied alloy | 63 |
| 4.1 | Casting parameters | 72 |
| 4.2 | Mechanical properties of processed alloy | 88 |
| 4.3 | Wear properties of the alloy | 93 |
| 4.4 | Comparison of mechanical properties of the alloy before and after T6 heat treatment | 109 |
| 4.5 | Wear properties of alloy before and after T6 heat treatment | 116 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE | TITLE | PAGE |
|--------|--|------|
| 2.1 | Binary phase diagram of Al- Si alloy (Djurdjevic et al., 2013) | 9 |
| 2.2 | Al- Si alloy microstructure (Makhlouf and Guthy, 2001) | 10 |
| 2.3 | Schematic diagram of grain structure where 1- chill zone, 2- columnar zone and 3- equiaxed zone (Drozdz, 2017) | 12 |
| 2.4 | Microstructure of solidified Al- 3Cu- 5.5Si (Gomes et al., 2015) | 12 |
| 2.5 | Schematic illustration of evolution of structure during solidification; (a) initial dendritic fragment; (b) dendritic growth; (c) rosette; (d) ripened rosette; and (e) spheroid (Fleming, 1991) | 13 |
| 2.6 | Four stage of traditional SIMA process (Kim and Kang, 2000) | 15 |
| 2.7 | Schematic process sequence for traditional SIMA process (Lin et al., 2016) | 16 |
| 2.8 | Schematic flow process of modified SIMA process (Lin et al., 2018) | 16 |
| 2.9 | Mechanism of grain- spheroidization in modified SIMA method (Lin et al., 2018) | 16 |
| 2.10 | Schematic diagram of continuous rheocaster (Mohammed et al., 2013) | 18 |
| 2.11 | Rheocaster (electromagnetic stirrer) (Singh et al., 2014) | 19 |
| 2.12 | Microstructure of Al- Si alloy stirred for 20 minutes at shear rate of (a) 210s^{-1} ; (b) 320s^{-1} ; and (c) 533s^{-1} (Sukumaran et al., 2004) | 19 |
| 2.13 | Microstructure of Al- Si alloy stirred for 30 minutes at shear rate of (a) 210s^{-1} ; (b) 320s^{-1} ; and (c) 533s^{-1} (Sukumaran et al., 2004) | 20 |
| 2.14 | Schematic diagram of different agitation modes ;(a) vertical agitation mode; (b) helical agitation mode and ; (c) horizontal agitation mode (Mohammed et al., 2013) | 22 |
| 2.15 | Schematic illustration of cooling slope casting process where; a) melting process; and b) casting via the cooling slope (Liu et al., 2003b) | 24 |
| 2.16 | Cooling slope casting setup equipment (Kumar et al., 2014) | 25 |

| | | |
|------|--|----|
| 2.17 | Microstructure of A356 processed via (a) conventional casting; and (b) cooling slope casting (Bunck et al., 2010) | 25 |
| 2.18 | Schematic diagram of the ultrasonic vibration device: (1) ultrasonic transducer; (2) thermocouple; (3) ultrasonic radiator; (4) resistance heater; (5) iron crucible; (6) Mg- 9.0 Al%; (7) ceramic cube; (8) water; (9) tank (Zhang et al., 2008b) | 27 |
| 2.19 | Schematic diagram of microstructure evolution during SPD process where, (a) Homogeneous distribution of dislocation; (b) formation of elongated sub- cells; (c) formation of elongated subgrains; (d) fragmentation of elongated subgrains and (e) rearrangement of subgrains boundaries and formation of ultra- fine grain size (Mishra et al., 2005) | 34 |
| 2.20 | Four fundamental processing routes in ECAP process (Valiev et al., 2006) | 37 |
| 2.21 | SEM image of magnesium alloy of (a) as-received and (b) after 4 ECAP passes using 120° channel die angle (Avvari et al., 2013) | 40 |
| 2.22 | SEM images of magnesium alloy of (a) as-received and (b) after 4 ECAP passes using 90° channel die angle (Jahadi et al., 2014) | 40 |
| 2.23 | Grain size of magnesium alloy at different applied temperature and passes (Yamashita et al., 2001) | 41 |
| 2.24 | Microstructure of Mg- 0.9% Al at different temperatures (a) 200°C, (b) 300°C and (c) 400°C after two passes (Yamashita et al., 2001) | 42 |
| 2.25 | TEM micrograph of AE21 at different passes where (a) 1 pass, (b) 2 passes, (c) 4 passes and (d) 8 passes (Minarik et al., 2013) | 43 |
| 2.26 | Microstructure of AZ31 using (a) route A, (b) route C and (c) route Bc after 2 passes at 498K (Avvari et al., 2014) | 45 |
| 2.27 | Tensile strength of LAE442 and AE21 alloys at different number of passes (Minarik et al., 2013 and 2015) | 48 |
| 2.28 | Graph of microhardness and elongation to fracture of AZ31 alloy at different pressing route (Avari et al., 2014) | 49 |
| 2.29 | Optical micrograph of A356 (a) before heat treatment; (b) after 30 minutes at 540 °C; and (c) after 6 hours at 540 °C solution treatment (Moller et al., 2010) | 52 |
| 2.30 | Optical micrograph of (a) unmodified and (b) modified A356 after T6 heat treatment (Zhu et al., 2012) | 53 |
| 2.31 | Hardness of A356 after solution treatment at different time and artificial aging at 160, 180 and 190 °C (Moller et al., 2010) | 54 |
| 3.1 | Process flow of the experiment procedure | 56 |
| 3.2 | DSC curve of A356 | 58 |
| 3.3 | Stainless steel mould used in casting | 59 |
| 3.4 | Cooling slope casting set up experiment | 60 |

| | | |
|------|--|----|
| 3.5 | (a) ECAP die and (b) ECAP die drawing | 62 |
| 3.6 | (a) Tensile specimen and (b) schematic drawing of tensile specimen size according to ASTM E8 | 67 |
| 3.7 | Fracture specimen after tensile testing | 67 |
| 4.1 | Morphology of as- cast obtained by optical microscope | 70 |
| 4.2 | Micrograph of cooling slope casting sample at different pouring temperature (625 °C, 635 °C and 645 °C) and slope length (200 mm, 300 mm and 400 mm) | 73 |
| 4.3 | Shape factor and average grain size of cooling slope casting at different parameter | 74 |
| 4.4 | Hardness of casting sample at different parameter | 76 |
| 4.5 | Microstructure of samples (a) as- cast; (b) cooling slope casting ; (c) annealed as- cast; and (b) annealed cooling slope cast | 77 |
| 4.6 | Optical microscopic image of (a) as- cast sample after one ECAP pass; (b) cooling slope casting after one ECAP pass; and (c) cooling slope casting after two ECAP passes | 79 |
| 4.7 | Grain size of sample before and after ECAP process | 79 |
| 4.8 | SEM images of (a) as- cast with 1 ECAP pass; (b) cooling slope casting with 1 ECAP pass; and (c) cooling slope with 2 ECAP passes | 81 |
| 4.9 | SEM- EDX elemental mapping of as- cast with 1 ECAP pass (a) SEM image; (b) Al; (c) Cu; (d) Si; (e) Mg; (f) Fe | 82 |
| 4.10 | SEM- EDX elemental mapping of cooling slope casting with 1 ECAP passes (a) SEM image; (b) Al; (c) Cu; (d) Si; (e) Mg; (f) Fe | 82 |
| 4.11 | SEM- EDX elemental mapping of cooling slope casting with 2 ECAP passes (a) SEM image; (b) Al; (c) Cu; (d) Si; (e) Mg; (f) Fe | 83 |
| 4.12 | SEM- EDX for as- cast combined with 1 ECAP pass | 83 |
| 4.13 | SEM- EDX of cooling slope casting combined with 1 passes of ECAP | 84 |
| 4.14 | SEM- EDX of cooling slope casting combined with 2 passes of ECAP | 84 |
| 4.15 | XRD pattern of alloys at different processing condition | 85 |
| 4.16 | Hardness of before and after ECAP process | 86 |
| 4.17 | Tensile strength of sample before and after ECAP process | 88 |
| 4.18 | SEM fractographs of (a) as-cast; (b) cooling slope cast; (c) as- cast with one ECAP pass; (d) cooling slope cast with one ECAP pass; and (e) cooling slope cast with two ECAP passes | 90 |
| 4.19 | Volume loss as function of load of sample before and after ECAP process | 94 |
| 4.20 | Specific wear rate, K of sample before and after ECAP process at different applied load | 94 |

| | | |
|------|---|-----|
| 4.21 | Average coefficient of friction of sample before and after ECAP process at different applied load | 96 |
| 4.22 | SEM images of worn surface for before and after ECAP process (a) as-cast; (b) cooling slope casting; (c) as- cast ECAPed; (d) cooling slope casting ECAPed 1 pass and (e) cooling slope casting ECAPed 2 passes | 98 |
| 4.23 | Optical micrograph of (a) as- cast; (b) cooling slope cast; (c) as- cast with one ECAP pass; (d) cooling slope cast with one ECAP pass; and (e) cooling slope cast with two ECAP passes samples, respectively after T6 heat treatment | 100 |
| 4.24 | SEM-EDX elemental mapping of as- cast ECAPed sample in T6 condition where (a) SEM image, (b) Al, (c) Si, (d) Cu, (e) Fe, and (f) Mg | 103 |
| 4.25 | SEM-EDX elemental mapping of cooling slope cast with one pass of ECAPed sample in T6 condition where (a) SEM image, (b) Al, (c) Si, (d) Cu, (e) Fe, and (f) Mg | 103 |
| 4.26 | SEM-EDX elemental mapping of cooling slope cast with two passes of ECAPed sample in T6 condition where (a) SEM image, (b) Al, (c) Si, (d) Cu, (e) Fe, and (f) Mg | 104 |
| 4.27 | SEM-EDX of ECAPed as- cast alloy after T6 treatment | 104 |
| 4.28 | SEM-EDX of cooling slope cast with one ECAP pass alloy after T6 treatment | 105 |
| 4.29 | SEM-EDX of cooling slope cast with two ECAP passes alloy after T6 treatment | 105 |
| 4.30 | Hardness of alloys before and after T6 heat treatment | 106 |
| 4.31 | Comparison of tensile strength of alloys before and after T6 heat treatment | 108 |
| 4.32 | Comparison of elongation before fracture of alloys before and after T6 heat treatment | 108 |
| 4.33 | SEM fractographs of (a) as-cast; (b) cooling slope cast; (c) as- cast with one ECAP pass; (d) cooling slope cast with one ECAP pass; and (e) cooling slope cast with two ECAP passes after T6 heat treatment | 111 |
| 4.34 | Volume loss of the alloys in T6 heat treatment condition at different applied load | 112 |
| 4.35 | Specific wear rate of the alloys in T6 heat treatment condition at different applied load | 113 |
| 4.36 | Average coefficient of friction of the alloys after T6 heat treatment at different applied load | 114 |
| 4.37 | SEM images of worn surface after T6 heat treatment, (a) as- cast; (b) cooling slope cast; (c) as- cast with one ECAP pass; (d) cooling slope cast with one ECAP pass and (e) cooling slope cast with two ECAP passes, respectively | 117 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| | | |
|-----------|---|---------------------------------------|
| SPD | - | Severe Plastic Deformation |
| UFG | - | Ultra fine-grained |
| ECAP | - | Equal Channel Angular Pressing |
| CS | - | Cooling slope |
| OM | - | Optical Microscope |
| SEM | - | Scanning Electron Microscope |
| XRD | - | X- ray Diffraction |
| HV | - | Hardness Vickers |
| MPa | - | Mega Pascal |
| SIMA | - | Strain Induced Melt Activated |
| MIT | - | Massachusetts Institute of Technology |
| MHD | - | Magneto Hydrodynamic |
| ITT | - | International Telephone and Telegraph |
| kHz | - | kilohertz |
| Al- Si | - | Aluminium- Silicon |
| Al- Si Cu | - | Aluminium- Silicon- Copper |
| Al- Ti- B | - | Aluminium- Titanium- Boron |
| HPT | - | High Pressure Torsion |
| ABE | - | Accumulative Back Extrusion |
| ARB | - | Accumulative Roll Bonding |
| CGP | - | Constrain Groove Pressed |
| ECAE | - | Equal Channel Angular Extrusion |
| CP- Ti | - | Commercially pure titanium |
| TEM | - | Transmission Electron Microscope |
| HAGBs | - | High angle grain boundaries |
| LAGBs | - | Low angle grain boundaries |
| La | - | Lanthanum |
| Ce | - | Cerium |
| Sr | - | Strontium |
| Mg | - | Magnesium |
| Si | - | Silicon |
| Fe | - | Iron |

| | | |
|--------------|---|---|
| Cu | - | Copper |
| Al | - | Aluminium |
| SEM- EDX | - | Scanning Electron Microscope- Energy Dispersive X-ray |
| ASTM | - | American Society for Testing and Materials |
| SF | - | Shape factor |
| GS | - | Grain size |
| COF | - | Coefficient of Friction |
| ϕ | - | Channel angle |
| Ψ | - | Curvature angle |
| P | - | Pressure |
| N | - | Number of Passes |
| V | - | Volume |
| ϵN | - | Stain accumulated |
| μm | - | micrometre |
| nm | - | nanometre |
| $^{\circ} C$ | - | Degree Celsius |
| $^{\circ}$ | - | Degree (angle) |

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Journal

1. Salleh, M. S., Ishak, N. N. M., Yahaya, S. H., Sivaraos and Abdullah, A., 2018.
Effect of Equal Channel Angular Pressing on the Microstructure and Mechanical Properties of A356 Alloy. *Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 12 (2), pp. 79- 91.
2. Ishak, N. N. M., Salleh, M. S., Yahaya, Mohamad, E., and Sulaiman, M. A., 2017.
The Effect of Equal Channel Angular Pressing (ECAP) on the Microstructure and Hardness of A356 Aluminium Alloy. *Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 11 (2), pp. 47- 57.
3. Salleh, M. S., Ishak, N. N. M., and Yahaya, S. H., 2017. Microstructural Investigation and Mechanical Properties of Thixoformed Al-6Si-xCu-0.3Mg Alloys. *Jurnal Teknologi*, 79 (5- 2), pp. 27- 31.

4. Salleh, M. S., Naili, N., Safian, M. A. H., Yahaya, S. H., Kamal, M. R. M., and Mohamad, E., 2016. Microstructural Analysis and Mechanical Properties of LM6 Alloy Processed by Cooling Slope Casting. *Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 10 (2), pp. 109- 124.

Conference Paper

1. Salleh, M. S., Ishak, N. N. M, and Yahaya, S. H., 2016. Microstructural Investigation and Mechanical Properties of Thixoformed Al-6Si-xCu-0.3Mg Alloys. *5th International Conference on Design and Concurrent Engineering (IDECON 2016)*, Langkawi, Malaysia, 19- 20 September 2016.

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

This chapter outlines the introduction of this research. In this chapter, the background of the research, objectives of the research, problem statement, scope of study and chapter overview were highlighted.

1.1 Research background

Current lightweight material technologies utilize high-strength steels, non-ferrous metal such as aluminium alloy, magnesium alloy and titanium alloy or secondary heat treatment processes to improve the specific strength of materials. However, production of improved lightweight metals without adding costly alloying elements to present materials or utilizing energy consuming heat treatments are still a major challenge for the production industry. Therefore, to encounter the problem, severe plastic deformation (SPD) technique is introduced as an ultra-fine grained (UFG) materials production. SPD technique refined the grain size down to nanometres size by imposing a high plastic strain to a metal hence generates new grains in the processed samples.

UFG materials are defined as an alloy with average grain sizes less than $1\mu\text{m}$ and the microstructure are homogeneously distributed with high angle grain boundaries. Generally, average grain size of the alloys plays important role in determining the mechanical properties of the alloys. According to Hall-petch relationship, the yield stress of the metal alloys increase as the grain size decrease. From the relationship, it is verified that UFG materials could enhance the mechanical strength of the alloy. Thus, UFG materials

produced by SPD techniques are classified as high strength with large ductility consequently suitable for lightweight applications.

SPD techniques has been implement since 1990s' where many techniques have been proposed and developed. Some major SPD techniques are equal channel angular pressing (ECAP), high pressure torsion (HPT), and accumulative roll bonding (ARB). ECAP is one of popular technique of SPD which involves pressing a billet through a die consisting of two equal channel that intersected at certain angle. In ECAP process, the sample is deformed without any cross-sectional changes of the billet sample. There are four major parameters involved in ECAP process which are processing temperature, channel die angle, number of passes and route. Basically, there are four basic route to define the rotation of the billet between passes. In route A, the billet is pressed without any rotation between passes, route C, the billet are rotated 180° between passes, route B_A where the billet is pressed by rotating at 90° in alternated direction while route B_C , the billet is pressed rotating at 90° in the same direction.

Cast aluminium alloys are commonly used in automation industry due to their good fluidity and mechanical strength. The mechanical properties of cast aluminium alloy somehow is lower compared to the alloys that used other advance processing route such as SPD techniques or semisolid metal processing. Better mechanical properties of that processing are attributed to the globular morphology of α -Al, smaller grain size and homogeneous distribution of intermetallic phase in the sample after the process.

Cooling slope (CS) casting is the advanced semisolid process occupied with simple equipment and low running cost. This method is used by pouring the superheated molten alloy onto the incline plate towards the vertical mould and it is solidified in the mould. This method is a promising techniques that introduced to produce a non-dendritic microstructure feedstock for semisolid metal process, a technology that involves in the formation of metal

alloy between solidus and liquidus temperature. In addition, this cooling slope casting method may also suitable to be used in producing a feedstock for SPD technique which is ECAP as it produced a non- dendritic microstructure instead of dendritic that obtained by conventional casting.

Consequently, the aim of this work is to produce a feedstock that have a globular microstructure using a cooling slope casting technique. This study will also investigate the evolution of α -Al microstructure after cooling slope casting in combination with ECAP processing by route A (aluminium sample is press without rotation between passes). The as-cast sample will be machines into a rod shape with diameter of 15mm and then annealed at 540°C for 8 hours. After that, ECAP experiment will be performed using route A for four passes with channel angle of 120°. The samples microstructure were then characterized using optical microscope, scanning electron microscope, and X-ray diffraction analysis. The mechanical properties were measured by conducting hardness, tensile and wear testing. Successful outcome from this work should contribute significantly to the improvement of mechanical properties of aluminium alloys.

1.2 Problem statement

In recent years, a studies on ECAP process have been reported in the literature. A review on equal channel angular extrusion as a deformation and grain refinement process had been done by Adedokun in 2011. This article highlighted the review of ECAE process including the advantages and limitations, the parameters and also the material tested. In 2013, Estrin and Vinogradov wrote on the challenging parts of SPD and some highlights to the potentials and limitations of SPD technologies. Roodposhti et al. (2015) studied the effect of ECAP process parameters including pressing route, temperature, pressing speed, channel

and curvature angle and number of passes through the die to the commercially pure titanium (CP-Ti) alloys. There are many literatures have been reported on utilizing ECAP on titanium alloy and magnesium alloy, but there are limited study on aluminium alloy. Therefore, aluminium alloy is choose to undergo ECAP process in this research.

Cast aluminium alloys are frequently used in automotive and aeronautical industry. The low production cost of conventional casting processing routes comes with several drawbacks, such as the formation of porosity, hot tears and segregation which may act as a potential crack source during service. Futhermore, in the conventional processes, like forging, some defects initiate reducing the mechanical properties of the aluminium alloys. Some of aluminium cast alloys are not suitable to be used in a critical application such as in engine block because of some limitation such as low mechanical properties and high porosity. This will detrimental the possibility of the particular alloys to be used in automotive industry. Therefore, there have been considerable efforts to minimize these problems which resulted in introducing advanced shaping routes such as ECAP. UFG alloys produced by ECAP have superior mechanical properties resulting from significant grain refinement together with dislocation strengthening. This technique produce better mechanical properties of aluminium alloys compared to the conventional cast alloys. Normally in ECAP, a feedstock with a dendritic α -Al microstructure is being used. Dendritic structure tend to produce a porosity which detrimental the mechanical properties if the samples. Therefore, cooling slope casting technique is applied in order to obtain near globular microstructure instead of dendrite microstructure to be used in ECAP process.

1.3 Research objectives

The objectives of this research involve:

1. To produce a non-dendritic microstructure of Al- Si- Cu alloy feedstock for ECAP process by using the cooling slope method.
2. To investigate the evolution of α - Al microstructure, mechanical and wear properties of Al- Si Cu alloy after equal channel angular pressing (ECAP) process.
3. To investigate the effect of T6 heat treatment on microstructure evolution, mechanical and wear properties of Al- Si- Cu alloy.

1.4 Research scope

This research begin with the production of the feedstock for ECAP process by using cooling slope casting technique and conventional casting. Cooling slope casting technique was applied in order to obtain non- dendritic feedstock as fulfil the first objective. Cooling slope casting is done at different pouring temperature of 625°C, 635°C and 645°C and different slope length which are 200mm, 300mm and 400mm. ECAP process is performed by using a die with channel angle of 120° for two passes via route A at room temperature. The aim of this research to investigate the evolution of α -Al microstructure after cooling slope casting in combination with ECAP processing were done with an aid of optical microscope (OM), scanning electron microscope (SEM), and x-ray diffraction analysis (XRD). The research also involves on investigating the mechanical properties of aluminium alloy after ECAP processing by undergo tensile testing and hardness testing. Whereas a wear test is done by subjected the materials to sliding wear test.